# Farm(er) characteristics driving the adoption of pre-harvest mycotoxin management measures

Esmée Janssen<sup>1</sup>\*, Monique Mourits<sup>1</sup>, H.J. (Ine) van der Fels-Klerx<sup>1,2</sup>, Alfons Oude Lansink<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> Business Economics Group, Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen, the Netherlands <sup>2</sup> RIKILT, Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen, the Netherlands \*esmee.janssen@wur.nl





#### Background

# Conclusions

Farmers apply various pre-harvest measures to reduce fungal infection and mycotoxins in wheat, such as selection of a resistant wheat variety, fungicide use, soil cultivation and crop rotation. Since farmers play a key role in the prevention and control of *Fusarium* infection and mycotoxin contamination, it is important to understand their behaviour regarding the adoption of mycotoxin management to get a better insight in how to stimulate a future change in behaviour.

- The use of a certain pre-harvest measure is interrelated with at least one other measure.
- Farm(er) characteristics that drive the adoption of pre-harvest measures are: the use of wheat as main crop, use of a decision support system, farmers education level, mycotoxin knowledge and their relative risk attitude.

# **Objective**

The aim of this study was to i) identify the (sets of) pre-harvest measures against *Fusarium* infection that Dutch wheat farmers currently apply and ii) to understand the farm(er) characteristics that drive the adoption of these measures.

### Method

Data: questionnaire 105 Dutch wheat farmers

- Pre-harvest measures against *Fusarium* infection (see Table 1)
- Farm and farmer characteristics (see Table 3)

### Analysis:

• Bivariate probit model to identify the correlation between the use of different measures (Table 2)



• Univariate probit model to identify the farm(er) characteristics that drive the use of certain pre-harvest measures (Table 3).

#### Results

#### Pre-harvest measures

**Table 1.** Adoption rate of pre-harvest measures by Dutch wheat farmers (n=105)

| Code    | Pre-harvest measure                                     | % of farmers |
|---------|---|--------------|
| Var_res | Selection of resistant wheat variety (resistance >7)    | 85%          |
| Var_log | Lower the risk of lodging by selection of wheat variety | 88%          |
| Fun_all | Fungicide use during whole cultivation period           | 84%          |
| Fun_flo | Fungicide use around flowering                          | 65%          |
| Plough  | Ploughing after grain harvest                           | 77%          |
| Rot     | Crop rotation: no grains as pre-crop                    | 73%          |
| Decont  | Use of decontaminated seeds                             | 92%          |
| Bio     | Biological control                                      | 20%          |

**Table 2.** Correlation coefficients between different pre-harvest measures taking into account farm and farmer characteristics, i.e. rho of bivariate probit models

|         | <sup>a</sup> Var_res | Var_log | Fun_all | Fun_flo | Plough | Rot     | Decont | Bio     |
|---------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| Var_res |                      | 0.03    | 0.77**  | 0.79**  | 0.28   | -0.27   | -0.37  | 0.99**  |
| Var_log | 0.03                 |         | -0.12   | -0.12   | 0.62   | 0.54**  | 0.31   | 0.27    |
| Fun_all | 0.77**               | -0.12   |         | 0.68**  | 0.42   | -0.11   | 0.37   | 0.14    |
| Fun_flo | 0.79**               | -0.12   | 0.68*   |         | 0.30   | -0.30   | 0.43   | 0.17    |
| Plough  | 0.28                 | 0.62    | 0.42    | 0.30    |        | 0.36*   | 0.76** | 0.37    |
| Rot     | -0.27                | 0.54**  | -0.11   | -0.30   | 0.36*  |         | 0.25   | -0.49** |
| Decont  | -0.37                | 0.31    | 0.37    | 0.43    | 0.76** | 0.25    |        | 0.11    |
| Bio     | 0.99**               | 0.27    | 0.14    | 0.17    | 0.37   | -0.49** | 0.11   |         |

#### Results

# *Farm(er) characteristics*

**Table 3.** Marginal effects of farm(er) characteristics on the use of pre-harvest measures.

|                                   | <sup>a</sup> Var_res | Var_log | Fun_all | Fun_flo | Plough  | Rot      | Decont | Bio     |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|--------|---------|
| Total arable land                 | 0.001                | 0.000   | 0.001   | -0.001  | -0.002* | 0.001    | 0.000  | 0.000   |
| Wheat as main crop                | 0.019                | 0.001   | 0.011   | 0.359** | 0.073   | -0.340** | 0.026  | 0.025   |
| Wheat for food                    | -0.050               | 0.078   | 0.008   | -0.147* | -0.047  | 0.041    | -0.115 | -0.040  |
| Past Fusarium infections          | 0.072                | -0.078  | 0.054   | -0.034  | 0.074   | -0.008   | 0.052  | -0.016  |
| Use of a decision support program | 0.133                | -0.081  | -0.050  | 0.306** | -0.158  | 0.143    | -0.108 | -0.033  |
| Age over 55<br>years              | -0.101               | -0.037  | -0.062  | -0.011  | 0.098   | 0.011    | 0.014  | -0.160* |
| Higher educated                   | 0.174**              | 0.036   | 0.007   | -0.034  | 0.250** | -0.079   | 0.008  | -0.005  |
| Mycotoxin<br>knowledge            | 0.031                | 0.042   | 0.102** | -0.005  | 0.030   | 0.018    | 0.022  | 0.012   |
| Risk Perception                   | 0.008                | 0.001   | 0.020*  | 0.019*  | -0.007  | -0.008   | 0.014  | 0.020*  |
| Low relative risk attitude        | 0.110                | 0.062   | 0.048   | 0.362** | 0.139*  | 0.040    | 0.007  | 0.125   |

<sup>a</sup> see Table 1 for the full name of the pre-harvest measures abbreviation; \*significant at 10% level; \*\* significant at 5% level

- Most of the Dutch farmers take multiple pre-harvest measures against *Fusarium* infection (Table 1) usually in sets of 5-7 different measures (data not shown).
- The choice for a certain measure is related to the choice of other measures (Table 2), e.g. farmers using a Fusarium resistant wheat variety are more likely to use fungicides, and farmers that do not have grains as pre-crop are less likely to adopt biological control.

<sup>a</sup> see Table 1 for the full name of the pre-harvest measures abbreviation; \*significant at 10% level; \*\* significant at 5% level

- Farmers with wheat as main crop are 36% more likely to adopt fungicide use during flowering and 34% less likely to adopt a rotation scheme with no grains as a pre-crop.
- The use of a decision support system increases the probability that a farmer uses fungicides during flowering, indicating a more targeted fungicide use.
- Higher educated farmers are 17% more likely to use *Fusarium* resistant wheat varieties and 25% more likely to plough after a grain harvest compared to farmers with lower education levels, whereas having specific *Fusarium* and mycotoxin knowledge only increased the probability of fungicide use by 10%.



Wageningen University & Research P.O. Box 123, 6700 AB Wageningen Contact: esmee.janssen@wur.nl T + 31 (0)317 484065, M +31 (0)6 24921759 www.wur.nl/BEC

#### Acknowledgements

This study is performed as part of the MyToolbox project, which received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 678012.